

James G. Snell, Bar No. 173070
JSnell@perkinscoie.com
PERKINS COIE LLP
3150 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
Telephone: 650.838.4300
Facsimile: 650.838.4350

Attorneys for Defendant
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ALEXIOS KAFATOS, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:15-cv-03727-JCS

**DECLARATION OF JAMES G. SNELL IN
SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
CASES SHOULD BE RELATED AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER TO RELATE
CASES**

Lowest-Numbered Case: 15-cv-03727-JCS

First Filed Case: 14-cv-05678-JST

1 I, James G. Snell, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law under the laws of the State of California.
3 I am a partner with the law firm of Perkins Coie LLP, counsel for Defendant Uber Technologies,
4 Inc. ("Uber"). I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called as a witness
5 could competently testify thereto.

6 2. Uber has contacted counsel for plaintiffs in *Lathrop et al. v. Uber Technologies,*
7 *Inc.*, Case No. 3:14-cv-05678-JST ("*Lathrop*") and *Kafatos v. Uber Technologies, Inc.*, Case No.
8 3:15-cv-03727-JCS ("*Kafatos*") regarding the Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases
9 Should be Related ("Administrative Motion"). Counsel for Lathrop and Kafatos have indicated
10 that they will not oppose the Administrative Motion, but will not stipulate to it.

11 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

12
13 DATED: October 14, 2015

PERKINS COIE LLP

14
15 By: /s/ James G. Snell
James G. Snell, Bar No. 173070
JSnell@perkinscoie.com

16
17 Attorneys for Defendant
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Uber's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby GRANTS Uber's motion. The *Kafatos* and *Lathrop* Actions are related under Local Rule 3-12(a), and the *Kafatos* Action is therefore reassigned to this Court pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(f).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2015

Honorable Jon S. Tigar
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE